

An interaction of problem based learning models and work motivation on students' competence in programmable logic control subject

by Agus Wiyono

Submission date: 09-May-2023 04:28PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2088435558

File name: 18a._An_interaction_of_problem_based_learning.pdf (733.22K)

Word count: 2579

Character count: 14409

RESEARCH ARTICLE | APRIL 27 2023

An interaction of problem based learning models and work motivation on students' competence in programmable logic control subject

Fendi Achmad ✉; Maspiyah; Edy Sulistiyo; ... et. al

 Check for updates

AIP Conference Proceedings 2646, 040007 (2023)

<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0127141>




View
Online




Export
Citation

CrossMark


Articles You May Be Interested In

 **Effect of leaf extract buasbuas (*Premna pubescens* Blume) for against of bacteria growth *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* sp in vitro**

AIP Conference Proceedings (August 2017)

 **Implementation of STEM learning using the slingshot toy project on elasticity and Hooke's law**

AIP Conference Proceedings (March 2021)

 **Extrovert and introvert personalities use inside outside circle and snowball throwing learning models**

AIP Conference Proceedings (March 2021)



Time to get excited.

Lock-in Amplifiers – from DC to 8.5 GHz



 Find out more

 Zurich
Instruments

An Interaction of Problem Based Learning Models and Work Motivation on Students' Competence in Programmable Logic Control Subject

Fendi Achmad^{1, a)}, Maspiyah^{1, b)}, Edy Sulistiyo^{1, c)}, Agus Wiyono^{1, d)}, Dedy Rahman Prehanto^{1, e)}, Setya Chendra Wibawa^{1, f)}, Suprpto^{1, g)}, Subuh Isnur Haryudo^{1, h)}, Sujarwanto^{2, i)} and Daeng Rahmatullah^{3, j)}

20

¹Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia

²Psychology Department, Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia

³Electrical Engineering, Universitas Hang Tuah Surabaya, Indonesia

5

^{a)} Corresponding author: fendiachmad@unesa.ac.id

^{b)} maspiyah@unesa.ac.id

^{c)} edysulistiyo@unesa.ac.id

^{d)} aguswiyono@unesa.ac.id

^{e)} dedyrahman@unesa.ac.id

^{f)} setyachendra@unesa.ac.id

^{g)} suprpto@unesa.ac.id

^{h)} subuhisnur@unesa.ac.id

ⁱ⁾ sujarwanto@unesa.ac.id

^{j)} daengrahmatullah@gmail.com

23

Abstract. This study aims to obtain information about the interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on the competence of knowledge, attitude, and skill in Programmable Logic Control Subject. The research conducted at this Vocational High School is an experimental research using the "Non Equivalent Control Group Design" research design, which involves two research subject, namely: (1) Class XI Electrical Power Installation Engineering Expertise Program-1 (taught by using a learning model). Based on problem); and (2) Class XI Electrical Power Installation Engineering Expertise Program-2 (taught by using the direct learning model). The study found: (1) there is a significant interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on knowledge competence in Programmable Logic Control Subjects in Vocational High Schools, (Fcount = 21.261 with a significance level of 0.000 <0.05); (2) there is a significant interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on attitude competence in Programmable Logic Control Subjects in Vocational High Schools (Fcount = 8.902 with a significance level of 0.004 <0.05); and (3) there is a significant interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on skill competencies in Programmable Logic Control Subjects in Vocational High Schools (Fcount = 9.206 with a significance level of 0.004 <0.05).

10

INTRODUCTION

Education is a conscious process and effort made to guide humans so that they can develop their personality and abilities in accordance with the values prevailing in society. Education as a process, can be done anywhere, anytime,

14

Proceedings of the Symposium on Advance of Sustainable Engineering 2021 (SIMASE 2021)

AIP Conf. Proc. 2646, 040007-1–040007-6; <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0127141>

Published by AIP Publishing. 978-0-7354-4426-3/\$30.00

040007-1

and applies throughout life. The National Education System Law states that education is a conscious and planned effort to create a learning atmosphere and learning process, so that students can actively develop their potential so that they have religious spiritual strength, self-control, personality, intelligence, noble character, as well as the skills needed by himself, society, nation, and state [1].

The development of science, technology and information today in the electrical field is very rapid, especially in the control system design technology that can affect people's lives to move forward (modernization), practical, and semi-automatic. Automated equipment systems that override the human role as the subject of work have been found.

Thus the problems that occur in Programmable Logic Control in the world of work, can be transferred to learning in the classroom. While a good problem, according to Ibrahim and Nur [2], meets at least five criteria, namely: (1) the problem must be authentic, which means that the problem must be rooted in experience in the real world rather than experience in a particular academic world; (2) the problem must be effectively defined and subject to nuances of mystery and enigma; (3) the problem must be meaningful to students and appropriate for their level of intellectual development; (4) the problems must be broad enough to enable teachers to accomplish their learning objectives, but limited enough to make the lesson feasible within the constraints of time, space, and resources; (5) a good problem must be profitable for the group's efforts.

Thus, problem-based learning is a learning model, where students work on authentic problems to construct their own knowledge, develop inquiry and thinking skills at a higher level, in order to develop independence and self-confidence for students [3]. Motivation to achieve good learning outcomes, in order to obtain provisions for work is related to work motivation. Work motivation is formed from a person's attitude in dealing with certain situations and conditions [4]. Work motivation can foster a spirit of learning, so that students are encouraged to continue to do learning activities with pleasure.

Based on the explanation above, it can be seen that the effect of the problem-based learning model on learning outcomes is highly dependent on the work motivation of students. For students who have high work motivation, they will study intensively, consistently, and earnestly to achieve their learning goals, in order to gain knowledge, skills and attitudes in Programmable Logic Control subjects as a provision for work. In other words, it can be stated that there is an interaction between the learning model and students' work motivation as mutually influential factors in achieving learning outcomes. This is in accordance with the opinion of Kerlinger [5] which states that interaction is the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable, depending on the level or level of other independent variables.

Based on the background described above, the formulation of the problem in this study is as follows: (1) is there a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the cognitive domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects?; (2) is there a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the affective domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects?; and (3) is there a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on psychomotor learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects?.

The hypotheses of this research are as follows: (1) there is a significant interaction between the learning model and work motivation on cognitive domain learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects; (2) there is a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the affective domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects; and (3) there is a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the psychomotor domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study is an experimental study with the aim of knowing the interaction of the use of learning models and work motivation on student learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects. The research design used was a 2 x 2 factorial design, as shown in Fig. 1 below.

E	O ₁ O ₃	X ₁	Y ₁ Y ₂	O ₂ O ₄
K	O ₅ O ₇	X ₂	Y ₁ Y ₂	O ₆ O ₈

FIGURE 1. 2 x 2 factorial design [6]

RESEARCH RESULTS

First Hypothesis Testing

There is a significant interaction between the learning model and work motivation on the cognitive domain learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects.

From the two-way analysis of variance, $F = 21,161$ with a significance value of $0.000 < 0.05$, which means H_0 is rejected, and H_1 which reads: there is a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the cognitive domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects, accepted. The cognitive domain learning outcomes for students who study using a problem-based learning model with high work motivation, have a mean value of 86.009, which is higher than the cognitive domain learning outcomes for students who study using direct learning models with high work motivation, obtaining a mean value of 80,924. While the cognitive domain learning outcomes for students who study with problem-based learning models with low work motivation, have a mean value of 75.345 which is lower than the cognitive domain learning outcomes for students who study with direct learning models with low work motivation, which has a mean value of 77,286.

Thus it can be concluded that there is an interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on cognitive domain learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects. The interaction of the use of different learning models and work motivation on cognitive learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects can be seen in Fig. 2 below.




FIGURE 2. Graph of interaction line patterns between the use of learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the cognitive domain in programmable logic control subjects

Second Hypothesis Testing

There is a significant interaction between the learning model and work motivation on the affective domain learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects

From the results of the two-way analysis of variance, the value of $F = 18.451$ with a significance value of $0.000 < 0.05$ means that H_0 is rejected, and H_1 is accepted. Affective domain learning outcomes for students who study using a problem-based learning model accompanied by high work motivation, obtained a mean value of 79,000 which is higher than the affective domain learning outcomes for students who study using a direct learning model with low work motivation, which has a mean value of 77,500. While the learning outcomes of the affective domain for students who study with a problem-based learning model with low work motivation, who get a mean value of 75.333 are lower than the learning outcomes of the affective domain for students who study with a direct learning model with low work motivation, which has a mean value of 75,571.

Thus, it can be concluded that there is an interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the affective domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects. The interaction of the use of different learning models and work motivation on affective learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects can be seen in Fig. 3 below.




FIGURE 3. Graph of interaction line patterns between the use of learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the affective area in programmable logic control subjects

Third Hypothesis Testing

There is a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the psychomotor domain in Programmable Logic Control subjects.

From the results of the two-way analysis of variance, $F = 19.256$ with a significance value of $0.000 < 0.05$, which means H_0 is rejected, and H_1 which reads: there is a significant interaction between learning models and work motivation on psychomotor learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects. , accepted. Psychomotor learning outcomes for students who study using problem-based learning models with high work motivation, obtained a mean value of 85.021 which is significantly higher than psychomotor learning outcomes for students who study using direct learning models with high work motivation, which obtain a mean value of 79.988. While the psychomotor domain learning outcomes for students who study with a problem-based learning model with low work motivation, obtaining a mean value of 75.617 is lower than the psychomotor domain learning outcomes for students who study with a direct learning model with low work motivation, which has a lower price. The mean is 76,751.

Thus, it can be concluded that there is an interaction between the use of learning models and work motivation on psychomotor learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects. The interaction of the use of different learning models and work motivation on psychomotor learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects can be seen in Fig. 4 below.




FIGURE 4. Graph of interaction line patterns between the use of learning models and work motivation on learning outcomes in the psychomotor area in programmable logic control subjects

DISCUSSION

This study found that there was an interaction between problem-based learning model, direct learning models and work motivation on Programmable Logic Control learning outcomes. In other words, the effect of problem-based learning models, and direct learning models on learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects, is highly dependent on the high and low work motivation of the students. This finding is in line with the opinion of Kerlinger [5] which states that interaction is the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable, depending on the level or level of other independent variables. The interaction between learning models, work motivation on student learning outcomes, describes the relationship between learning models and student work motivation as factors that mutually influence the achievement of learning outcomes.

Two independent variables are said to interact, if the effect of one independent variable on the dependent variable is different at the levels of the other independent variables. From the data above, it can be stated that the independent variable of high work motivation in students who learn by using the problem-based learning model, provides significantly higher learning outcomes (cognitive, affective and psychomotor domains) compared to students who learn by using the direct learning model. The findings of this study provide clues for teachers that the use of problem-based learning models is an appropriate learning model to be applied in teaching Programmable Logic Control subjects for those with high work motivation, while for students with low work motivation it is more suitable to use direct learning models.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of data analysis and discussion of research results as described above, it can be concluded as follows:

- There is an interaction between problem-based learning models, direct learning models and work motivation on cognitive domain learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects;
- There is an interaction between problem-based learning models, direct learning models and work motivation on affective domain learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects; and
- There is an interaction between problem-based learning models, direct learning models and work motivation on psychomotor learning outcomes in Programmable Logic Control subjects.

REFERENCES

1. Undang-Undang Nomor 20 Tahun 2003 Tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional.

2. M. Ibrahim dan M. Nur, *Pengajaran Berdasarkan Masalah* (University Press, Surabaya, 2000).
3. R. Arends, *Classroom Instructional Management* (The Me Graw-Hill Company, New York, 1997).
4. A. A. P. Mangkunegara, *Evaluasi Kinerja SDM* (Reflika Aditama, Bandung, 2005).
5. F. N. Kerlinger, *Foundations of behavioral research* (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966).
6. B. W. Tuckman, *Conducting Educational Research* (Harcourt Brance, Orlando, 1999).

An interaction of problem based learning models and work motivation on students' competence in programmable logic control subject

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

11%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.jurnalalqalam.or.id

Internet Source

1%

2

www.researchgate.net

Internet Source

1%

3

La Ili, Yoo Eka Yana Kansil, Wa Ode Nur Esa, Cici Sarfian. "Analysis Of Students' Critical Thinking Skills On The Material Of Flat Shapes In The Fifth Grade Of Tindoi State Elementary School", Lentera: Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan, 2022

Publication

1%

4

Heru Sasongko, Arifin Wicaksono, Sugiyarto. "In-vivo acute toxicological studies of Vasconcellea pubescens A. DC. fruit extract against hepatic injury", AIP Publishing, 2020

Publication

1%

5

Yeni Anistyasari, Ekohariadi, I. Gusti Putu Asto Buditjahjanto, Bambang Yulianto, Suprpto, Shintami C. Hidayati. "Maximum excess over

1%

sub-arrays distance to assess creative thinking", AIP Publishing, 2023

Publication

6	eprints.uns.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
7	Peter J. Brancazio. "Comments on "Kinematics of the free throw in basketball"", American Journal of Physics, 1982 Publication	1 %
8	Submitted to Binus University International Student Paper	1 %
9	www.ejournal.unma.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
10	mudarrisa.iainsalatiga.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
11	Zigmond Wilchinsky. "The Theoretical Treatment of Hooke's Law", American Journal of Physics, 1939 Publication	1 %
12	www.scribd.com Internet Source	1 %
13	ijere.com Internet Source	<1 %
14	ouci.dntb.gov.ua Internet Source	<1 %

15

Arie Wardhono, Bambang Sabariman, M. Imaduddin, M. Firmansyah Sofianto et al. "The ratio of alkali modulus in strength development of class c fly ash geopolymer mortar", AIP Publishing, 2021

Publication

<1 %

16

ejournal.iainpalopo.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

17

pubs.aip.org

Internet Source

<1 %

18

R C Hardyanti, Hartono, Fianti. "The Analysis of Physics Learning in Senior High School of Semarang Based on The Scientific Approach and Assessment", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

<1 %

19

aip.scitation.org

Internet Source

<1 %

20

Subuh Isnur Haryudo, Ekohariadi, Munoto, Setya Chendra Wibawa, Fendi Achmad, Edy Sulistyo. "Design of Competency Test Model for Electrical Installation Automation Based Project Learning for Electrical Engineering Students", 2020 Third International Conference on Vocational Education and Electrical Engineering (ICVEE), 2020

Publication

<1 %

21

digitalcommons.lsu.edu

Internet Source

<1 %

22

journal.uad.ac.id

Internet Source

<1 %

23

Masriyah, Endah Budi Rahaju, Abdul Haris Rosyidi, Dayat Hidayat, Umi Hanifah.

"Developing assessment book for mathematics learning", AIP Publishing, 2022

Publication

<1 %

24

www.atlantispress.com

Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On